

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi (89689-02044)
(Regd. Post) Village Nikku Chak, PO Hajipur,
Mukerian District Hoshiarpur

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
(Regd. Post) O/o SSP, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority
(Regd. Post) O/o SSP, Hoshiarpur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3025 of 2019

ORDER (Pronounced)

1. The above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of undersigned dated 13.01.2020 and judgment was reserved.

2. After examining the case file, it is observed that respondent, Sh. Daljeet Singh on hearing held on 06.11.2019 intimated the Commission that same information has already been supplied to the appellant in another case i.e. Appeal Case No. 1749 of 2019. As per the submitted reply by the respondent vide letter no. 73039 dated 05.11.2019 signed by the SSP, Hoshiarpur in connection with Appeal Case No. 3024, 3025 and 3027 of 2019 documentation fee amounting Rs. 70/- was demanded from the appellant through letter no. 82116 dated 17.11.2018 and same was also informed to the appellant through telephonic message.

It is also observed that 35 pages were handed over to the appellant by hand on the hearing held on 10.09.2019 in Appeal Case No. 1749 of 2019 and 35 pages were sent to the appellant through letter no. 57160 dated 05.09.2019 and reply was again sent to the appellant through letter no. 76668 dated 25.11.2019.

An email dated 09.12.2019, which was received from the respondent comprising three letters (letter no. 76668 dated 25.11.2019, letter no. 34319 dated 09.05.2018 and letter no. 82671 dated 07.12.2019), showing that reply has already been sent to the appellant.

On hearing held on 13.01.2020, respondent, Sh. Rajinder Singh (ASI) stated that nothing is left to be supplied. He added that he has brought the original record so that appellant can inspect if anything require.

3. Appellant, Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi never satisfied with the supplied information in any of his case (AC: 3024, 3025, 3027, 3028, 3029 and 3030 of 2019) as well as by the proceedings of this bench even after supplying the demanded information time and again by the respondent.

4. I am of the considered view that sufficient information has already been supplied to the appellant more than once. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
06.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Kuldip Singh Walia
(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Harjit Singh
R/o flat No. 1506, 2nd floor,
Housefed Complex, Banur, Punjab
Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer
(Regd. Post) O/o Registrar, Co-operative Societies,
Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
(Regd. Post) O/o Registrar, Co-operative Societies,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 656 of 2018

ORDER (Pronounced)

1. The above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of undersigned dated 19.11.2019 and judgment was reserved.

2. After examining the case file, it is observed that RTI application was filed by the appellant dated 26.07.2017 to the office of Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Punjab, Chandigarh regarding two complaints dated 15.05.2017 (i. Relates with unlawfully crores of rupees imposed on allottees of the society and ii. Relates with meeting of Committee members held on 15.05.2017) and requested to supply the status of his complaints along with certified copies of all correspondence and notings of action taken by the office.

3. Respondent PIO sent a reply relates with RTI application comprising 05 pages to the appellant through office letter no. 8591 dated 11.09.2019.

4. Appellant, Sh. Kuldip Singh Walia filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority dated 21.12.2017 with the amended application.

5. As per the case file, appellant was called twice to represent this case before the First Appellate Authority on 25.01.2018 and 07.02.2018 through letter number 644 dated 19.01.2018 and letter no. 868 dated 25.01.2018) and on the previous hearing held on 18.11.2019 respondent intimated the Commission that appellant never appeared before the First Appellate Authority so the case was disposed of & closed by the First Appellate Authority.

6. It is also observed that reply relates with both letters dated 15.05.2017 were sent to the appellant as follows:

- Reply to the complaint dated 15.05.2017 (unlawfully crores of rupees imposed on allottees of the society) was sent to the appellant through letter no. 3818 dated 29.08.2017 dated 29.08.2017 as per the case file.
- Reply to the complaint dated 15.05.2017 (Relates with meeting of Committee members held on 15.05.2017) was sent to the appellant through letter no. 8591 dated 11.09.2019 (05 pages) dated 29.08.2017 through which it is clear that complaint of the appellant was not recorded by the office.

- Reply of the letter dated 09.04.2017 was also sent to the appellant dated 29.08.2017 regarding Annual General Body Meeting.

7. Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office and inspect the official record on 04.11.2019 and same was intimated to the appellant through telephonic message but appellant informed that he will not be able to visit the respondent's office on 04.11.2019 and requested to fix the 07.11.2019 for inspection. On the request of the appellant inspection was fixed for 07.11.2019 and it was informed to the appellant through telephonic message by the bench of undersigned. Orders of the Commission dated 22.10.2019 were updated on the website of the Commission dated 29.10.2019. As per the respondent PIO appellant had not visited the respondent's office for inspection.

8. As per the page numbers of the case file, 13 to 19, 21 to 23, 36 to 38, 46 to 50, 79 to 80 replies as well as requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. Appellant never availed the opportunity to appear in person before the division bench of undersigned as he was absent on the previous hearings held on 16.09.2019, 22.10.2019 and 19.11.2019.

9. We are of the considered view that sufficient reply and information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best extent by the respondent PIO time and again. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

10. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

(Sh. Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh, Dated: 06.02.2020

Copy to:

- PS to SIC (SG) for your kind information.